METHOD FOR THE LOCALIZATION OF ONE OR MORE TRANSMITTERS

The invention relates to a method for the localization of one or more transmitters.

5   It can be applied essentially to the localization of transmitters on the ground from a moving vehicle without *a priori* knowledge concerning the signals sent.

The technical field in particular is that of the passive localization of transmitters.

10   Figure 1 illustrates an airborne localization. The transmitter is at the position (x_0, y_0, z_0). The carrier at the instant t_k is at the position (x_k, y_k, z_k) and perceives the transmitter at the angles of incidence $(\theta(t_k, x_0, y_0, z_0), \Delta(t_k, x_0, y_0, z_0))$. The angles $\theta(t, x_0, y_0, z_0)$ and $\Delta(t, x_0, y_0, z_0)$ evolve in time and depend on the position of the transmitter as well as the trajectory of

15   the carrier.

The angles $\theta(t, x_0, y_0, z_0)$ and $\Delta(t, x_0, y_0, z_0)$ are determined relative to a network of N antennas that can be fixed beneath the carrier as shown in figure 2.

There are several existing techniques to determine the position

20   (x_m, y_m, z_m) of a transmitter. These techniques of localization differ especially in the parameters which are estimated instantaneously at the level of the network of sensors. They can be classified as follows.

Use of goniometry

25   These techniques are known and used in the prior art. In most cases, they are based on a 1D azimuthal goniometry. The azimuths $\theta_{km} = \theta(t_k, x_m, y_m, z_m)$ associated with the mth transmitter are measured for different instants t_k. In using the position (x_k, y_k, z_k) of the carrier at the corresponding instant k, a position (x_{mk}, y_{mk}, z_{mk}) of the transmitter m is

30   estimated by a ground intersection. The position (x_k, y_k, z_k) of the carrier is given by a GPS unit, its orientation is obtained by a compass in the case of a

ground carrier and by an inertial navigation system in the case of an aircraft. From all the positions (x_{mk}, y_{mk}, z_{mk}), the method extracts data with which it is possible to determine the M dominant positions (x_m, y_m, z_m) of the incident transmitters. The localization is obtained by triangulation or by ground

5   intersection (2D goniometry). The drawback of triangulation techniques is that they require major movement. Furthermore, goniometry techniques require the use of a network of non-ambiguous sensors. This has the drawback of necessitating a calibration table and restricting the size of the sensor network and therefore giving incidence values of limited precision.

10

Use of the phase difference between two remote sensors

The inter-sensor phase difference $\Delta\varphi(t_k, x_0, y_0, z_0)$ depends on the positions of the two sensors as well as the incidence

15    $\theta(t_k, x_0, y_0, z_0)$, $\Delta(t_k, x_0, y_0, z_0))$ of the transmitter. This phase, which depends on time, is directly related to the position (x_0, y_0, z_0) of the transmitter. Consequently, studying the function of time $\Delta\varphi(t, x_0, y_0, z_0)$ makes it possible to deduce the position (x_0, y_0, z_0) of the transmitter. In this group of applications, the two sensors are distant in order to augment the precision of

20   measurement of the phase. This has the drawback of causing variations in the phase difference $\Delta\varphi(t, x_0, y_0, z_0)$ as a function of time over more than 2π and the technique then necessitates a step enabling the phase to be made to vary by more than 2π. Furthermore, in this technique, the phase is measured by carrying out a direct intercorrelation between two sensors, and cannot be

25   used to deal with the multiple-sensor case.

Use of the measurement of the carrier frequency of the transmitter

These techniques make use of the fact that the estimated carrier frequency is the sum of the carrier frequency of the transmitter and the Doppler shift due to the speed of movement of the carrier. The Doppler shift has the

30   advantage of depending on the position (x_0, y_0, z_0) of the transmitter and of also being a function of the time $\Delta f(t, x_0, y_0, z_0)$. Consequently, studying the

function of the time $\Delta f(t,x_0,y_0,z_0)$ makes it possible to deduce the position (x_0,y_0,z_0) of the transmitter therefrom. However, the measurement of this Doppler shift has the drawback of necessitating transmitters with particular waveforms. This measurement of frequency can be done by cyclical
5   techniques in which it is assumed that the signal sent is non-circular.

Use of propagation times

These techniques use the differences in propagation time between antennas (TDOA or time difference of arrival) which are directly related to the respective differences between the transmitter and the different antennas
10   and therefore to the position (x_0,y_0,z_0) of the transmitter. The use of at least three antennas that are sufficiently spaced out enables the position (x_0,y_0,z_0) of the transmitter to be deduced by hyperbolic localization. The drawback of these techniques is that they cannot be implemented in a single-carrier context owing to the considerable spacing required between antennas.
15   Furthermore, in these techniques, the time difference is measured by the direct performance of an inter-correlation between two sensors. This approach cannot be used to deal with the case involving multiple transmitters.

The method of the invention relies especially on a novel approach
20   for the direct estimation of the positions (x_m, y_m, z_m) of each of the transmitters from a parametric analysis of the multipath signal at various instants t_k on a duration Dt. The parametric analysis especially has the additional function of separating the different transmitters at each point in time t_k. Then, the parameters of a same transmitter coming from the different
25   points in time t_k are associated to finally localize each of the transmitters.

The invention relates to a method of localization of one or more sources, said source or sources being in motion relative to a network of sensors, the method comprising a step of separation of the sources in order to identify the direction vectors associated with the response of the sensors
30   to a source having a given angle of incidence. The method is characterized in that it comprises at least the following steps :

- associating the direction vectors $a_{1m}...a_{Km}$ of the mth transmitter obtained respectively at the instants $t_1...t_K$,
- localizing the mth transmitter from the associated vectors $a_{1m}...a_{Km}$.

5 The method of the invention has especially the following advantages :

-   it enables the localization of the position of the transmitter in (x, y, z) coordinates and, in addition, that of its speed vector,

-   it can be applied when there are one or more incident transmitters present,

10 -   its implementation does not require any particular knowledge of the signal sent,

-   it enables the use of a network of ambiguous sensors, i.e. several incidence values are associated with the same response of the network which have the advantage of being great and therefore of being more

15 robust with respect to inter-antenna coupling phenomena   or, more generally, antenna-network modeling errors,

-   it can be implemented in networks calibrated in (θ,Δ),

-   it can be implemented in networks with amplitude-diversity antennas such as co-localized antennas : networks with dipoles having the same phase

20 center and different orientations.

Other features and advantages of the object of the present invention shall appear more clearly from the following description given by way of an illustration that in no way restricts the scope of the invention and from the appended figures, of which :

25 Figure 1 shows the principle of the localization by an aircraft of a transmitter positioned on the ground,

Figure 2 shows the relationship between a network of antennas and the value of incidence of a transmitter,

Figure 3 is a general drawing explaining the working of the method

30 according to the invention,

Figures 4, 5 and 6 are examples of implementation of the method according to the invention.

In order to provide for a clearer understanding of the object of the present invention, the following description is given by way of an illustration that in no way restricts the scope of the invention for the localizing of several transmitters positioned on the ground using a network of sensors installed in an aircraft in motion. Such a system is described for example in figure 1. The aircraft is equipped with a processor adapted to implementing the steps of the method according to the invention.

The method can also be implemented in the context of vehicles moving on the ground.

Figure 3 is a representation, in a signal time/amplitude graph, of the signal x(t) formed by a combination of the signals of the transmitters at different instants t_1, t_2, ...t_K. This figure summarizes the different steps implemented, namely the separation of the transmitters SE and the parametric estimation EP, the association of the parameters of each transmitter and the localization of a transmitter.

In the presence of M transmitters, at the instant t at output from the N sensors of the network, the method has the vector $\mathbf{x}(t)$ representing the mixture of signals from the M transmitters. Around the instant t_k, the vector $\mathbf{x}(t+t_k)$ sized Nx1, representing the mixture of the signals from the M transmitters, is expressed as follows :

$$\mathbf{x}(t+t_k) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbf{a}(\theta_{km}, \Delta_{km})\, s_m(t+t_k) + \mathbf{b}(t+t_k) = \mathbf{A}_k\, \mathbf{s}(t+t_k) + \mathbf{b}(t+t_k) \quad \text{pour } |t| < \Delta t/2$$

$$(1)$$

where $\mathbf{b}(t)$ is the noise vector assumed to be Gaussian, $\mathbf{a}(\theta,\Delta)$ is the response of the network of sensors to a source having an incidence (θ,Δ), $\mathbf{A}_k=[\mathbf{a}(\theta_{k1}, \Delta_{k1})...\ \mathbf{a}(\theta_{kM}, \Delta_{kM})]$, $\mathbf{s}(t)=[s_1(t)...s_M(t)]^T$, $\theta_{km}=\theta(t_k,x_m,y_m,z_m)$ and $\Delta_{km}=\Delta(t_k,x_m,y_m,z_m)$. In this model, the mix matrix $\mathbf{A}_k$ depends on the instant t_k

of observation.

The direction vector with incidence corresponding to the mth transmitter at the instant t_k

$$\mathbf{a}_{km} = \mathbf{a}(\theta_{km}, \Delta_{km}) = \mathbf{a}(t_k, x_m, y_m, z_m) \text{ of the } m^{th} \text{ transmitter} \qquad (2)$$

is a known function of t_k and of the position of the transmitter (x_m, y_m, z_m).

5   The method according to the invention comprises at least the following steps:

1. estimating one or more parameters associated with the position of the source, for example the direction vectors, the incidence values, the position etc. and separating the M transmitters for the different instants t_k: this consists in identifying the direction vectors having an incidence $\mathbf{a}_{km}$ for $(1 \leq m \leq M)$. This first step is performed, for example, by means of source separation techniques known to those skilled in the art.

2. associating the parameters estimated for the mth transmitter, for example in associating the different direction vectors having incidence values, $\mathbf{a}_{1m} \ldots \ldots \mathbf{a}_{km}$ obtained respectively at the instants $t_1, \ldots t_k$,

3. localizing the mth transmitter from the associated vectors.

Association step

In the presence of M transmitters and after separation of sources, the method, at the instant t_k, possesses the M signatures $\mathbf{a}_{km}$ for $(1 \leq m \leq M)$. At the instant t_{k+1} the source separation gives the M vectors $\mathbf{b}_i$ for $(1 \leq i \leq M)$. The goal of this tracking is to determine, for the mth transmitter, the index $i(m)$ which minimizes the difference between $\mathbf{a}_{km}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{i(m)}$. In this case, it will be deduced therefrom that $\mathbf{a}_{k+1, m} = \mathbf{b}_{i(m)}$. To make this association, the distance between two vectors $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ is defined, for example, by :

$$d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = 1 - \frac{\left| u^H v \right|^2}{\left(u^H u \right)\left(v^H v \right)} \qquad (3)$$

Where u^H is the conjugate transpose of the vector u.

Thus, the index $i(m)$ verifies :

$$d(\mathbf{a}_{km}, \mathbf{b}_{i(m)}) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq M} [d(\mathbf{a}_{km}, \mathbf{b}_i)] \qquad (4)$$

hence

$$d(\mathbf{a}_{km}, \mathbf{b}_{i(m)}) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq M} [1 - \frac{\left| \mathbf{a}_{km}^{H} \mathbf{b}_{i(m)} \right|^2}{\left(\mathbf{a}_{km}^{H} \mathbf{a}_{km} \right)\left(\mathbf{b}_{i(m)}^{H} \mathbf{b}_{i(m)} \right)}]$$

In this association, we consider a function β_m associated with the mth transmitter :

$$\beta_m(t_k) = d(\mathbf{a}_{km}, \mathbf{a}_{0m}) \qquad (5)$$

In the course of the association, for each transmitter m is obtained and for $1 \leq m \leq M$, the function $\beta_m(t)$ is obtained. This function is aimed especially at eliminating the instants t_k whose value $\beta_m(t_k)$ appears to be far too distant from an interpolation of the function $\beta_m(t)$, i.e. the aberrant instants which may be associated with other transmitters are eliminated. A zone of tolerance $+/-\Delta$ is defined around the curve defined by the function $\beta_m(t_k)$. This zone of tolerance will depend on the precision of estimation of the direction vectors $\mathbf{a}_{km}$. In particular, in the presence of M=1 sources, the zone will be in the range of $\Delta = 3/\sqrt{B\Delta t}$ (where Δt is the elementary time of parametric estimation illustrated in figure 3 and B is the instantaneous band of the signal $x(t)$).

The steps of this association for K instants t_k are for example the following :

Step ASE – 1 : Initialization of the process at k=2. The initial number M of transmitters is for example determined by a test to detect the number of sources at the instant t_0 known to those skilled in the art,

Step ASE – 2 : For $1 \leq m \leq M$ determining the indices $i(m)$ in applying the equation (4) and in using the vector $\mathbf{a}_{k,m}$ with $1 \leq m \leq M$ and the vectors $\mathbf{b}_i$ identified at the instant t_{k+1} for ($1 \leq i \leq M$),

Step ASE – 3 : For $1 \leq m \leq M$ performing the operation $\mathbf{a}_{k+1\ m} = \mathbf{b}_{i(m)}$,

Step ASE – 4 : Incrementing $k \leftarrow k+1$ and if $k < K$ returning to the step ASE-1,

Step ASE – 5: Starting from the family of instants $\Phi=\{\ t_1<...<\ t_K\ \}$, eliminating the I instants $t_i \in \Phi$ such that the coefficients $\beta_m(t_i)$ do not belong to the zone demarcated by the interpolation curve of the $\beta_m(t_k)$ values and the zone of tolerance Δ. The instants t_k where $|\beta_m(t_k)-\beta_m(t_{k-1})|<\Delta$ will also be eliminated. After this sorting, the new family of instants is $\Phi=\{\ t_1<...<\ t_I\ \}$ and we assume $K=I$.

At the end of these steps, the method has determined the vectors a_{1m} ..... a_{Km} associated with the mth transmitter.

Localization of a transmitter

The method determines the position of the mth transmitter from the components of the vectors a_{1m} up to a_{Km}. These vectors a_{km} have the particular feature of depending on the instant t_k and above all on the position (x_m, y_m, z_m) of the transmitter. In particular, for a network formed by $N=2$ sensors spaced out by a distance d in the axis of the carrier, the vector verifies a_{km}:

$$a_{km} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \exp\left(j2\pi\frac{d}{\lambda}\cos(\theta(t_k,x_m,y_m,z_m))\right)\cos(\Delta(t_k,x_m,y_m,z_m)) \end{bmatrix} = a(t_k,x_m,y_m,z_m) \tag{6}$$

The value 1 of the first component corresponds to the reference sensor. According to figure 1, the incidence $(\theta(t_k,x_m,y_m,z_m), \Delta(t_k,x_m,y_m,z_m)\ )$ can be directly computed from the position (x_k,y_k,z_k) of the carrier at the instant t_k and the position (x_m,y_m,z_m) of the transmitter.

<u>Step of transformation of the vector</u>

According to a first alternative embodiment, the method comprises a step of correction of the $a_{km,}$ values, the measurement of the direction vectors a_{km} is generally obtained to within an indeterminate complex factor. According to this first variant, the method comprises a step of reducing the phase reference of the direction vector measured in reducing the operation to the phase barycenter (defined to within a constant scalar coefficient that may be set at 1). This operation is performed, for example, by estimating the

correction coefficient determined by the following conversion of the values a_{km} into values a'_{km} :

$$a'_{km} = \left(\prod_i \frac{a_i}{|a_i|} \right)^{-\frac{1}{N}} a_{km} \qquad (7)$$

5   The correction coefficient is not totally determined by this expression given the Nth order indeterminacy of the complex root. A tracking of the phase evolution during the observation period is therefore done.

Since the complex coefficient is defined to within a factor from among the N Nth roots of the unit, the phase tracking consists in arbitrarily

10   setting the first correction coefficient (in taking the root 1 for example), and then in determining, at each new iteration k+1, the coefficient that minimizes the mean phase differences between the direction vector recentered at k+1 and the vector recentered at the instant k.

The minimization criterion for measurement at the same frequency

15   may be equal to :

$$\min_{\rho = \sqrt[N]{1}} \sum_{\pi \text{ voie}} \min(\text{mod}(\left| \arg(\frac{\rho.a_{k+1}(i)}{a_k(i)}) \right|, 2\pi), 2\pi - \text{mod}(\left| \arg(\frac{\rho.a_{k+1}(i)}{a_k(i)}) \right|, 2\pi)) \qquad (8)$$

where the values a_{k+1} are the direction vectors recentered with the correction coefficient arbitrarily determined by any one of the Nth roots of the

20   expression. For measurement at different frequencies, it is possible to compare the phases of the components of the two direction vectors in correcting them by a power given by the ratio of these two frequencies.

If we consider the vectors $b_{km} = a'_{km}$, it is then possible to compare this measurement with the theoretical value $b(t_k, x_m, y_m, z_m)$ for which

25   the theoretical direction vector $a(t_k, x_m, y_m, z_m)$ is computed for a theoretical origin at the theoretical (geometrical) phase barycenter (geometrical locus for

which the theoretical sum of the phases differences gets cancelled out). This locus (generally) does not coincide with the phase center of the network.

According to another alternative embodiment, the method comprises a step of conversion of the vector a_{km} into a vector b_{km} whose components are formed out of components of the vector a_{km} . In particular, the method builds for example the vector b_{km} sized (N-1)x1 in choosing a reference sensor in n=i :

$$b_{km} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{km}(1)/a_{km}(i) \\ \vdots \\ a_{km}(i-1)/a_{km}(i) \\ a_{km}(i+1)/a_{km}(i) \\ \vdots \\ a_{km}(N)/a_{km}(i) \end{bmatrix} = b(t_k, x_m, y_m, z_m) \tag{9}$$

where $a_{km}(i)$ is the ith component of a_{km}

The components of b_{km} correspond in this case to the ratios of the components of the vector a_{km} and of the vector $a_{km}(i)$.

Thus in the example of the equation (6) in fixing $i=1$ we get :

$$b_{km} = \left[\exp\left(j2\pi \frac{d}{\lambda} \cos(\theta(t_k, x_m, y_m, z_m)) \right) \cos(\Delta(t_k, x_m, y_m, z_m)) \right] \tag{10}$$

$$= a_{km}(2)/a_{km}(1)$$

It being known that the direction vectors a_{km} are estimated with a certain error e_{km} such that $a_{km} = a(t_k, x_m, y_m, z_m) + e_{km}$, it can be deduced therefrom that the same is true for the converted vector b_{km} of (9) .

Step of maximization of a correlation criterion

It being known that the vector a_{km} is a function of the position (x_m, y_m, z_m) of the transmitter, the same is true for the vector b_{km}. The method comprises a step of maximization of a normalized vector correlation $L_K(x,y,z)$ in the space (x,y,z) of position of a transmitter where

$$L_K(x,y,z)= \frac{\left| b_K^{\;H} v_K(x,y,z) \right|^2}{\left(b_K^{\;H} b_K \right)\left(v_K(x,y,z)^H v_K(x,y,z) \right)}$$ (11)

with

$$b_K = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1m} \\ \vdots \\ b_{Km} \end{bmatrix} = v_K(x_m,y_m,z_m) + w_K \;,\quad v_K(x,y,z) = \begin{bmatrix} b(t_1,x,y,z) \\ \vdots \\ b(t_K,x,y,z) \end{bmatrix}$$

and $w_K = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1m} \\ \vdots \\ w_{Km} \end{bmatrix}$

The noise vector w_K has the matrix of covariance $R=E[w_K\, w_K^H]$. Assuming that the matrix R is known, the criterion can be envisaged with a whitening technique. Under these conditions the following $L_K'(x,y,z)$ criterion is obtained :

$$L_K'(x,y,z)= \frac{\left| b_K^{\;H} R^{-1} v_K(x,y,z) \right|^2}{\left(b_K^{\;H} R^{-1} b_K \right)\left(v_K(x,y,z)^H R^{-1} v_K(x,y,z) \right)}$$ (12)

With $R=E[w_K\, w_K^H]$

5 • It must be noted that the criteria of the equations (11) and (12) are equal when $R=\sigma^2 I$, i.e. when the errors are considered to be of equal level on all the sensors and independent between sensors. The criterion $L_K(x,y,z)$ of the equation (12) is therefore valid for a white statistics model noise w_K,

• The criteria $L_K(x,y,z)$ and $L_K'(x,y,z)$ range between 0 and 1 and verify

10 $L_K(x,y,z)= L_K'(x,y,z)=1$ for the position (x_m,y_m,z_m) of the mth transmitter. This normalization enables the setting of an efficient threshold of localization η. Thus, all the maximum values (x_m,y_m,z_m) of $L_K(x,y,z)$ which verify $L_K(x_m,y_m,z_m)> \eta$ are considered to be good localizations. The threshold may be set as a function of an approximate knowledge of the statistics of w_K,

• The criteria $L_K(x,y,z)$ and $L_K'(x,y,z)$ have the advantage of being capable of implementing a technique of localization in the presence of a network of sensors calibrated in space (θ,Δ). It being known that, at the instant t_k, the analytic relationship linking the incidence $(\theta(t_k,x,y,z), \Delta(t_k,x,y,z))$ of the transmitter with its position (x,y,z) is known, it is then possible, from the incidence $(\theta(t_k,x,y,z), \Delta(t_k,x,y,z))$, to deduce the vector $a(t_k,x_m,y_m,z_m)= a(\theta(t_k,x,y,z), \Delta(t_k,x,y,z))$ in carrying out an interpolation of the calibration table (relative to the calibrated antennas). It may be noted, however, that this method is insensitive to a phase bias (owing to the vector correlation criterion),

• These criteria also make it possible to take account of the phase and amplitude of the components of $a(\theta,\Delta)$. The method can therefore be envisaged with networks of co-localized antennas with pattern diversity.

It must be noted that, in an airborne context, knowledge of the altitude h of the aircraft reduces the computation of the criterion in the search space (x,y) in assuming $z=h$. In the example of the equations (6) and (10), the vector v_K (x,y,z) is written as follows :

$$v_K(x,y,z) = \begin{bmatrix} \exp\left(j2\pi\frac{d}{\lambda}\cos(\theta(t_1,x,y,z))\cos(\Delta(t_1,x,y,z)) \right) \\ \vdots \\ \exp\left(j2\pi\frac{d}{\lambda}\cos(\theta(t_K,x,y,z))\cos(\Delta(t_K,x,y,z)) \right) \end{bmatrix} \tag{13}$$

In this method, it is possible to envisage initializing the algorithm at $K=K_0$ and then recursively computing the criterion $L_K(x,y,z)$. Under these conditions, $L_K(x,y,z)$ is computed recursively as follows :

$$L_{K+1}(x,y,z)= \frac{|\alpha_{K+1}(x,y,z)|^2}{\beta_{K+1}\,\gamma_{K+1}(x,y,z)} \tag{14}$$

where

$\alpha_{K+1}(x,y,z)= \alpha_K(x,y,z) + \mathbf{b}_{K+1\,m}{}^H \mathbf{b}(t_{K+1},x,y,z)$

$\gamma_{K+1}(x,y,z)= \gamma_K(x,y,z) + \mathbf{b}(t_{K+1},x,y,z)^H \mathbf{b}(t_{K+1},x,y,z)$

$\beta_{K+1}=\beta_K + \mathbf{b}_{K+1\,m}{}^H \mathbf{b}_{K+1\,m}$

The coefficients $\alpha_{K+1}(x,y,z)= \alpha_K(x,y,z)$ $\gamma_{K+1}(x,y,z)= \gamma_K(x,y,z)$, $\beta_{K+1}=\beta_K$ are intermediate spectra enabling the computation of $L_{K+1}(x,y,z)$.

When the vectors $\mathbf{b}(t_{K+1},x,y,z)$ and $\mathbf{b}_{km}$ have constant norms equal to ρ the relationship of recurrence of the equation (14) becomes :

$$L_{K+1}(x,y,z)= \frac{\left|\alpha_{K+1}(x,y,z)\right|^2}{\beta^2 \,(K+1)^2} \qquad (15)$$

where

$\alpha_{K+1}(x,y,z)= \alpha_K(x,y,z) + \mathbf{b}_{K+1\,m}{}^H \mathbf{b}(t_{K+1},x,y,z)$

5   The method has been described hitherto in assuming that the vectors have fixed positions. It can easily be extended to the case of moving targets with a speed vector (v_{xm},v_{ym},v_{zm}) for which there is a model of evolution. In these conditions, the incidence of the mth transmitter can be parametrized as follows :

$\theta_{km}=\theta(t_k,\ x_m\text{-}v_{xm}\,t_k\,,y_m\text{-}v_{ym}\,t_k,\ z_m\text{-}v_{zm}\,t_k)$ (16)

et $\Delta_{km}=\Delta(\ t_k,\ x_m\text{-}v_{xm}\,t_k\,,y_m\text{-}v_{ym}\,t_k,\ z_m\text{-}v_{zm}\,t_k)$

10   where (x_m,y_m,z_m) is the position of the transmitter at the instant t_0 and (v_{xm},v_{ym},v_{zm}) are the components of the speed of the transmitter at the instant t_0. In these conditions, the vector $\mathbf{b}_{km}$ of the equation (9) is parametrized by (x_m,y_m,z_m) and (v_{xm},v_{ym},v_{zm}) as follows :

$\mathbf{b}_{km} = \mathbf{b}(t_k,x_m,y_m,z_m,v_{xm},v_{ym},v_{zm},)+ \mathbf{w}_{km}$ (17)

Naturally, the criteria of localization L_K and L_K' of the equations (11) and
15   (12) are no longer parametrized solely by (x,y,z) but also by (v_x,v_y,v_z). The method

then consists in maximizing the criterion $L_K(x,y,z, v_x,v_y,v_z)$ as a function of the 6 parameters (x,y,z, v_x,v_y,v_z).

The method can be applied to a very large number of measurements. In this case, the method comprises a step of reduction of the numerical complexity of computation (which depends on the number of measurements) by reducing K. The method provides for the following processing operations to be performed on the elementary measurements :

- decimation of the instants t_k, in eliminating the neighboring instants for which the development of the curve $\beta_m(t_k)$ is not significant,

- filtering (smoothing of the measurements which are the direction vectors) and sub-sampling,

- the measurements are then merged on a defined duration (extraction by association of direction vector to produce a synthesis measurement).

Recapitulation of the steps of the method

The process of localization of several transmitters using K instants t_k can be summarized by the following steps :

Step No. 1 : Identification of the vectors a_{km} for $(1 \leq m \leq M)$ at the K instants t_k in applying for example a technique of source separation, and source identification as described in the references [2] [3].

Step No.2 : Association of the vectors a_{1m} up to a_{Km} obtained at the respective instants t_1... t_K associated with the mth transmitter for $1 \leq m \leq M$ in applying the steps ASE-1 to ASE-5 described here above.

Step No. 3 : Initialization of the process at $m=1$.

Step n°4 : Conversion of the K vectors a_{km} into vectors b_{Km} as suggested by the equation (9).

Step No. 5 : Computation and maximization of the criterion $L_K(x,y,z)$ of the equation (11) to obtain the position (x_m,y_m,z_m) of the mth transmitter.

Step No. 6 : Incrementing $m \leftarrow m+1$ and if $m<M$ return to the step No. 3.

In order to refine the estimation of the position (x_m, y_m, y_m) of the transmitters, the steps of the method may be performed iteratively as follows:

Step No. 7 : Identification of the vectors b_i for $(1 \leq i \leq M)$ at the instants t_{K+1} in applying for example a technique of source separation and source

5   identification as described in the references [2] [3].

Step No. 8 : For $1 \leq m \leq M$ determining the indices $i(m)$ in applying the equation (4) and in using the vector a_{km} and the vectors b_i for $(1 \leq i \leq M)$.

Step No. 9: For $1 \leq m \leq M$ the operation $a_{K+1\ m} = b_{i(m)}$ is performed.

Step No. 10 : For $1 \leq m \leq M$ computation of the criterion $L_{K+1}(x,y,z)$ iteratively

10   in using the equations (14) and (15) and minimization of $L_{K+1}(x,y,z)$ to obtain the position (x_m, y_m, z_m) of the mth transmitter.

Step No. 11 : If it is decided to go on, in order to achieve greater precision and reduced ambiguity, then the method returns to the step No. 7.

15   **Exemplary implementation of the method**

The simulations were made with a network of $N = 2$ sensors aligned in the axis of the carrier with $d/\lambda = 3$. Since $d/\lambda = 3$ a method performing goniometry at the instants t_k, it would be completely ambiguous and would not subsequently enable operations of

20   triangulation for localizing the transmitter. In figures 5, 6 and 7 corresponding to the criteria of localization for K = 3, 7 and 16, the pseudo-spectrum $L_K(x,y)$ to be maximized, enabling the position of the transmitter in the space (x,y) to be determined, is plotted. It being known that if the transmitter is situated in (x_0, y_0), then $L_K(x_0, y_0) = 1$, it is

25   deduced therefrom that the iso-level curves $L_K(x,y) = 0.99$ characterize the width of the major lobe. Observing that the precision of localization depends on the width of this lobe, it is deduced therefrom, according to figures 5, 6 and 7 that the greater the value of K , the greater will be the localizing precision.

30

References

[1]   RO.SCHMIDT. *A signal subspace approach to multiple emitter location and spectral estimation*, November 1981

5   [2]   J.F. CARDOSO, A. SOULOUMIAC, Blind beamforming for non-gaussian signals, *IEEE Proceedings-F*, Vol.140, N°6, pp. 362-370, Dec. 1993.

[3]   P. COMON, Independent Component Analysis, a new concept ?, Signal Processing, Elsevier, April 1994, Vol. 36, n°3, pp 287-314.